

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,858 12/16/2003		Izumi Hata	029116.53064US	3187
23911 7	590 11/21/2005		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			VANNUCCI, JAMES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/735,858	HATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jim Vannucci	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply	,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>ay 2004</u> .				
,	• "				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaatian raquiromant				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al.(6,086,366) in view of Brandinger et al.(6,605,796).

Claim 1, figure 4 of Mueller discloses a laser oscillator for outputting a laser beam, a deflection unit(18) for switching a plurality of optical paths for the laser beam, laser positioning units(three surfaces of no. 16) disposed on the optical paths respectively where the number of laser positioning units is equal to the number of optical paths, and the laser beam is supplied to perform machining(col. 2, lines 46-47).

Mueller does not disclose an arbitration unit for controlling the laser positioning units independently of one another.

Figures 1A and 1B of Brandinger discloses an arbitration unit(16) for controlling laser positioning units(12) independently of one another, supplying the laser beam to one of the laser positioning units which has finished positioning, and supplying the laser beam to a plurality of laser positioning units in a predetermined sequence when the laser positioning units finish positioning simultaneously(cols. 4-5) for improved speed in machining a material(abstract).

Claim 2, both Mueller and Brandinger disclose a machining method. As referenced above, Mueller discloses a laser oscillator, a deflection unit, laser positioning units. Columns 4 and 5 of Brandinger disclose operating the laser positioning units independently of one another, and supplying the laser beam to one of the laser positioning units as recited.

Claim 3, Brandinger discloses a recording medium recording a computer-readable control program(col. 3, line 67 to col. 4, line 2) for controlling a multi-axis laser machine. As referenced above, Mueller and Brandinger disclose a laser oscillator, deflection unit, laser positioning units, operating the laser positioning units independently of one another, and supplying the laser beam to one of the laser positioning units as recited.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the arbitration unit and laser positioning units disclosed in Brandinger in the device disclosed in Mueller for improved machining speed as disclosed in Brandinger.

## Correspondence

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Vannucci whose phone number is (571) 272-1830.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,858 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

James Vannucci